EFFECT OF TWO SOURCES OF FAT ON DIGESTION IN SHEEP FED ROUGHAGE DIETS

M. van Houtert[†] and J.V. Nolan[†]

Fat, a dense source of energy, offers potential as a supplementary feed to improve productivity of ruminants consuming high-fibre roughages. A level of fat in roughage diets of more than 2-3%, however, generally results in a decreased fibre digestibility in the rumen (Palmquist 1988). Calcium salts of long chain fatty acids (CaLCFA) and fat prills are considered to be ruminally inert. In sheep fed straw-based diets, supplementation with CaLCFA increased live-weight, gain (LWG), whereas supplementation with fat prills only increased LW G when fed together with a protein meal (Van Houtert. and Leng 1986,1987).

Mature rumen-cannulated sheep were offered a roughage-based diets with CaLCFA or fat prills to enable comparison of their effects on digestion. In Exp.1, sheep were offered a rice straw-based diet for 6 weeks with 0 (n=5) or 45(n=4) g/d CaLCFA. In Exp.2, four groups of four sheep were offered an oaten chaff- based diet for 5 weeks, supplemented with fat prills (F) and/or formaldehyde- treated cottonseed meal (C; see Table). Measurements of in vivo and in sacco digestibility of straw (Exp.1) or oaten chaff (Exp.2), concentrations of NH₃-N and volatile fatty acids (VFA) and numbers of protozoa in rumen fluid were made.

			In vivo	In s	acco	Rumen fluid parameters					
	DM intake		digest-	degradibility		Protozoa	NH ₃ -N	Total			
Exp./	(g/kg LW)		ibility	(g/kg DM)		count	conc.	VFA	Molar %		
group	r‡	т‡	(g/kg DM)	12h	36h	$(10^{-3}/ml)$	(mg/l)	$(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{M})$	Acet.	Prop.	But.
ŝ											
Exp.1: [§]											
control	12.6	15.1	451	260	471	88	113	65	78	16	4
+CaLCFA	12.6	15.5	491	281	487	51	102	62	78	16	4
Sign.:	ns	ns	P<0.07	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Exp.2: [¶]											
F ₀ C ₀	20.7	25.1	574	407	574	151	88	96	68	24	7
$F_{30}C_0$	14.9	20.2	529	372	465	186	98	96	63	30	6
F ₀ C ₁₀₀	12.5	19.7	625	448	616	188	135	97	70	21	8
$F_{30}C_{100}$	15.4	22.8	570	377	479	56	63	81	62	29	7
Sign.:F	*	ns	*	***	***	ns	ns	ns	**	**	ns
С	ns	ns	P<0.06	*	×	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
FхC	*	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
SEM	1.70	1.52	2.20	4.9	4.9	56.4	34.5	6.8	1.8	2.0	0.5
control +CaLCFA Sign.: Exp.2: F_0C_0 $F_{30}C_0$ $F_{0}C_{100}$ $F_{30}C_{100}$ Sign.: F C $F \ge C$ SEM	12.6 12.6 ns 20.7 14.9 12.5 15.4 * ns * 1.70	15.1 15.5 ns 25.1 20.2 19.7 22.8 ns ns * 1.52	451 491 P<0.07 574 529 625 570 * P<0.06 ns 2.20	260 281 ns 407 372 448 377 *** * ns 4.9	471 487 ns 574 465 616 479 *** x ns 4.9	88 51 * 151 186 188 56 ns ns ns s 56.4	113 102 ns 88 98 135 63 ns ns ns 34.5	65 62 ns 96 96 97 81 ns ns ns 6.8	78 78 ns 68 63 70 62 ** ns ns 1.8	16 16 ns 24 30 21 29 ** ns ns 2.0	

R = roughage; T = total

Basal diet: Rice straw ad lib, 75g/d protein meal (cottonseed/soyabean/meat meal), 14g/d urea, minerals.

Pasal diet: Oaten chaff ad lib, 50g/d lucerne, urea and minerals. Subscripts indicate grams per day.

Supplementation with CaLCFA tended to increase *in* vivo DM digestibility, but had little effect on in *sacco* degradability, nor feed intake nor on metabolite concentrations in rumen fluid. Numbers of protozoa in rumen fluid were depressed.

Supplementation with fat prills depressed feed intake in the absence, but not in the presence of protein meal in the diet, reduced digestibility, both in vivo and *in* sacco and resulted in a higher ratio of propionate to acetate in rumen Auid VFA; concentration of $\rm NH_3-N$ and numbers of protozoa in rumen fluid varied widely but not significantly between diets.

Fat prills, in contrast to CaLCFA, cannot be regarded as a ruminally inert source of LCFA for sheep offered roughage-based diets.

PALMQUIST, D.L. (1988). In Feed Science, p.293 [E.R.Ørskov,ed.] Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers. VAN HOUTERT, M. & LENG, R.A. (1986). In *R* ice Straw and Related Feeds in Ruminant Rations, p.282 [M.N.M. Ibrahim and J.B. Schiere, editors]. Wageningen: Agricultural University.

VAN HOUTERT, Mr & LENG, R.A. (1987). In *Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition in Australia*, p.3a [D.J.Farrell ed.] Armidale: University of New England.

Dept. of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Nutrition, University of New England, Armidale NSW 2351, Australia.