"STATE-OF-THE-ART" IN RUMEN BACTERIAL GENETIC MANIPULATION

KEITH GREGG

SUMMARY

Genetic characterization of **rumen** bacteria is progressing rapidly, with the cloning of many genes, principally those encoding enzymes related to fibre digestion. Material is now available to allow gene regulatory sequences to be studied in detail. Direct transformation with recombinant **plasmids** has been reported **for** two species, and is being developed for others. A number of different techniques are now available to allow altered strains to be monitored when returned to the **rumen**, and the most sensitive are capable of detecting extremely low numbers. There are, so far, no reports of practical changes being made to **rumen** bacteria to enhance the capabilities of the **rumen**, but the present rate of progress suggests that such achievements are within reach.

INTRODUCTION

Using methods of dietary or ruminal manipulation it is already possible to make dramatic increases in animal production by making ruminants grow, reproduce, and lactate more efficiently (Leng 1990). It could therefore be argued biotechnological approach to the same problems that a may be unnecessary, since the technology is not practically applicable at present (Leng 1991). However, a sound reason for placing importance on the development of this technology is that it is likely to provide a variety of additional mechanisms for the enhancement of nutritional efficiency. Perhaps more importantly, the technology should provide possible solutions to other, non-nutritional problems in animal production.

Processes by which genetic alteration of **rumen** bacteria may improve nutritional efficiency include: enhanced fibre digestion (Smith and **Hespell** 1985); increased **rumen** by-pass of proteins containing essential amino-acids (**Teather1985**), or production of manipulative compounds which may increase the efficiency of **rumen** fermentation, **such** as **anti-protozoal** agents (Bird *et al.* 1990). Other uses for the technology include the possibility of constructing bacteria that are capable of detoxifying **plant** metabolites, or pesticides (Gregg and Sharpe 1991), or are engineered for the production of anti-parasitic agents Whatever the practical goal, attempts to manipulate the genetics of **rumen** bacteria' involve certain fundamental steps that are common to most applications:

- 1. . Selection of suitable bacterial species for alteration.
- 2. Cloning and characterization of **rumen** bacterial genes and their control mechanisms.
- 3. Methods for insertion of DNA and ensuring its retention.
- 4 Techniques for monitoring survival of altered organisms.

At present, the technology involved in each of these steps is still being developed, and in outlining the positive advances in the field it will be necessary to comment upon some of the difficulties that have been encountered. During early work, the extension of laboratory methods developed with **Escherichia coli**, to the anaerobes of the **rumen**, was approached with an expectation of rapid progress in many laboratories. In some respects this has proven justified, but in most respects it has not. Progress within this area of molecular biology has been slower than could be predicted five years ago, and it has become clear that extensive studies of the molecular genetics of **rumen** bacteria will be essential if genetic manipulation is to become routine. However, there have been considerable advances in this field since the first serious discussions of its **application** were published (Smith and **Hespell 1983**).

RUMEN BACTERIAL CULTURE AND CHARACTERIZATION

Classical taxonomy of **rumen** bacteria has defined approximately 15 phenotypic species which compose the major bacterial biomass of the **rumen** (Table 1, Hespell 1987). The role played by the major groups in rumen ecology is quite well understood (Russell 1988) and methods have been developed for the isolation and culture of the major species, which generally require strictly anaerobic conditions (Hungate 1969).

A complication that has arisen in this area of study, is that the phenotypes described in conventional taxonomy may not accurately reflect the genetic relationships of many of the major species. For example, it has been independently isolated Australian strains of major species. shown that strains of the species Butyrivibrio fibrisulvens are in many cases genetically distinct from one another, to the extent of comprising different species or even different genera (Hudman and Gregg 1989). In the same report, diversity was also noted among strains of **Bacteroides ruminicola**. Similar genetic divergence has been recorded among strains of Butyrivibrio from North America (Manarelli and Hespell 1987; Sewell et al. 1988) and among other rumen species (Hazlewood and Teather 1988; Ware et al. 1989).

The importance of this extensive variability depends upon the purpose for which the bacteria are being studied (Gregg and Ware 1990). Research which attempts to transfer genetic

Table 1 Dominant bacterial species within the **rumen**

SPECIES

Anaerovibrio lipolytica Bacteroides amylophilus Bacteroides ruminicola* Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* Fibrobacter succinogenes[©] Lachnospira multiparus Methanobrevibacter ruminantium Methanosarcina barkeri Ruminococcus albus*[©] Ruminococcus flavefaciens[©] Selenomonas ruminantium* Streptococcus bovis Succinivibrio dextrinosolvens Wolinella succinogenes

 $\stackrel{*}{\Theta}$ species being developed as DNA recipients $\stackrel{\Theta}{\Theta}$ highly cellulolytic species selected as gene donors

material between two organisms, may be **profoundly** affected by differences in DNA regulatory sequences (for example), which **may** cause genes to function differently within the two organisms.

Various methods have been developed for the identification of bacteria by their genetic content, rather than their outward appearance (Stackebrandt **1988**), and these are being applied to **rumen** bacteria with increasing frequency.

GENE CLONING AND SEQUENCING

The genes that have been cloned from **rumen** bacteria can now be numbered in dozens (Table 2), with a majority being those which encode enzymes for digestion of complex carbohydrates, such as endoglucanase, endoxylanase, exoglucanase, β glucosidase, and β -xylosidase genes. Complete DNA sequences have been established for more than 14 genes (Table 2), and genetic variability between strains provides a greater resource of distinct genetic material than was previously realized. For example, the endoglucanase genes cloned from **R.** albus AR67 differ markedly from those cloned from strain F-40 (Ware et **al.** 1989).

Descriptions of genes that have been cloned and sequenced have not generally given an indication of the difficulties that have been encountered in some cases. In many laboratories, evidence of cloning difficulties has been clear from the frequency with which cloned genes were subsequently **lost** because of **plasmid** instability (Huang **et al.** 1989; White **et al.** 1990). In other cases, cloning inefficiency has been shown by the very large number of transformant colonies that had to be screened to isolate a small number of specific **gene**clones. In some cases, screening an estimated 60 fold excess of transformant colonies was required, to isolate certain genes (Gong et **al.** 1989).

We have experienced particular difficulty in sub-cloning **rumen** bacterial DNA into the single-stranded DNA bacteriophage, **M13**. Reasons for this have not been established conclusively, but in many cases it has been necessary to sequence genes by using methods that circumvent the problem. In our laboratory,

Table 2Genes cloned from rumen bacteria

SPECIES	GENE PRODUCT S	SEQUENCED	
Bacteroides ruminicola	endoglucanase ^{ab}	yes ^{BC}	
	xylanase ^C	no	
Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens	endoglucanase ^{def}	yes^{df}	
	ß-glucosidase ^h	yes ^h	
	cellodextrinase ⁱ	yes ⁱ	
	Xylanase ^j	yes ^j	
	Xylosidase ^k	no	
Fibrobacter succinogenes	endoglucanase ¹	yes ^D	
	cellodextrinase ^m	no	
	mixed-link-glucanase ¹	n yes ^E	
	Xylanase ^O	no	
<i>Ruminococcus albus</i>	endoglucanase ^{epqrst}	yes ^{FGH}	
	ß-glucosidase ^{uv}	$\overline{yes^v}$	
	Xylanase ^q	no	
<i>Ruminococcus flavefaciens</i>	endoglucanase ^{wxy}	no	
	mixed-link glucanase ^Z	no	
	ß-glucosidase ^Y	no	
	xylanase ^{xz}	no	
	cellodextrinase ^A	yes ^A	
		100	

^aWoods et al. 1989; ^bRussell and Wilson 1989; ^c Whitehead and Hespell 1989; ^dBerger et al. 1989; ^eMann 1988; ^fHazlewood et al. 1991; ^hLin et al. 1990; ¹Berger et al. 1990; ^jManarelli et al. 1990; ^kSewell et al. 1989; ¹Crosby et al. 1984; ^mGong et al. 1989; ⁿIrvine and Teather 1988; ^OSipat et al. 1987; ^pKawai et al. 1987; ^qRomaniec et al. 1989; ^rOhmiya et al. 1988; ^SHoward and White 1988; ^tWare et al. 1989; ^uWare et al. 1990' ^VOhmiya et al. 1990; ^wBarros and Thomson 1987; ^xHoward and White 1990; ^yHuang et al. 1989; ^zFlint et al. 1989; ^AWang and Thomson 1990; ^BMatsushita et al. 1990' ^VVercoe et al. 1991a; ^DMcGavin et al. 1989; ^ETeather and Erfle 1990; ^FPoole et al. 1990; ^CVercoe et al. 1991b; ^HOhmiya et al. 1989. complications caused by **plasmid** instability and inability to obtain single-stranded DNA clones have been overcome by sequencing double-stranded DNA using synthetic oligonucleotide primers to "walk" through the sequence. This has eliminated. the need for sub-cloning. Difficulty of cloning has not been observed with all **rumen** bacterial DNA; for example, rapid progress has been made with genes cloned from *F. succinogenes* strain **S85**, using the conventional sub-cloning and **single**strand sequencing techniques generally applied to eukaryotic DNA (McGavin *et al.* **1989**; **Teather** and Erfle **1990**).

Sequencing **rumen** bacterial genes is essential to reveal the mechanisms by which gene expression is controlled. Structure alone cannot define which sequences have regulatory function, but provides the information essential for more definitive experiments.

rumen bacterial genes have been shown In many cases, to contain regions with strong similarity to the regulatory sequences of **E.** coli, and these have been cautiously assumed to control expression of the genes in their native bacterium (Teather 1990). One study has demonstrated that the mRNA encoding an R. albus endoglucanase is synthesized from a point close to sequences which strongly resemble an *E. coli* consensus promoter (Ohmiya *et al.* 1989). However, for most of the genes sequenced so far, there has been no definitive proof that transcription does indeed commence adjacent to the observed promoter-like sequences. In one case, prime extension studies have shown that transcription of a primer Β. ruminicola endoglucanase gene does not originate from any of the E. coli-like sequences that are present upstream from the protein-coding region, but from a region in which there is no obvious consensus sequence (Vercoe and Gregg 1991a). In some cases, therefore, gene regulatory requirements are likely to from familiar bacterial systems and will require differ detailed study.

It is clear that the rapid accumulation of sequence data that is occurring at present will allow the general situation in each **rumen** species to be established, but it is not yet possible to predict whether a gene will be functional if introduced into **any** particular species. At present, essentially nothing is known about molecular mechanisms of gene induction within **rumen** bacteria.

DNA TRANSFER VECTORS FOR **RUMEN** BACTERIA

Mechanisms for the insertion of novel DNA into bacteria usually involve epigenetic elements such as plasmids, bacteriophages, or transposons. All three systems are being tested for their application to **rumen** bacteria.

Transfer of **plasmids** and transposons from **non-rumen** species into **rumen** bacteria, by the process of conjugation, has been demonstrated to occur (Hazlewood and **Teather 1985**; Russell and Wilson **1988**), and transfer between **rumen** species is also possible (Flint et **al. 1988**). However, the broad host-range

5

plasmids used in some experiments have proven unstable and unsuitable as vectors for foreign DNA (Teather 1985). **Plasmids** derived from the intended recipient species appear more promising as transfer vectors (Thomson and Flint **1989**; Hazlewood and Gilbert **1989**). Bacteriophage, and **plasmids** that have been used for direct DNA transformations are listed in Table 3.

Successful attempts have been made to insert naked DNA directly into **rumen** bacteria using polyethylene glycol shock (Lockington **et al.** 1989). However, more widespread success has resulted from the use of electroporation, a process by which a pulsed electrical field induces bacteria (and other cells) to take up DNA from the surrounding medium (Dower **et al.** 1988; Thomson and Flint 1989; Hazlewood and Gilbert 1989; Lockington **et al.** 1989; Gregg and Ware 1990).

Two reports have been published describing **plasmid** transformation of **Bacteroides ruminicola** by electroporation. One involved the naturally occurring **plasmid pRRI4** (Thomson and Flint 1984) and the other involved a recombinant vector constructed from a cryptic native **plasmid** (Hazlewood and Gilbert 1989). We have demonstrated the use of electroporation for the transformation of **Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens** strain AR10 (Gregg and Ware 1990), using a recombinant **plasmid** which was constructed from a cryptic **plasmid** native to AR10.

Table 3 Bacteriopha	ges and plasmids used fo	or transformation	
SPECIES	EPISOME	TRANSFORMATION METHOD	
B. ruminicola	plasmid pRRI4 ^a *plasmid pE5-2 ^C	electroporation ^b electroporation ^C conjugation ^d	
B. fibrisolvens	plasmid RP4 ^e plasmid pRK248 ^f *plasmid pCW2 ^g	conjugation ^e PEG/spheroplasts ^f electroporation ^g	
S. ruminantium	bacteriophage ^h	electroporation ^h PEG/lysozyme ^h .	
	plasmid ¹	culture with DNA ¹	
<pre>* recombinant plasmids; PEG = polyethylene glycol treatment.</pre>			
^a Flint <i>et al.</i> 1988; ^b Thomson and Flint 1989; ^C Hazlewood and Gilbert 1989; ^d Russell and Wilson 1988; ^e Teather 1985; ^f Hazlewood and Teather 1988; ^g Gregg and Ware 1990; ^h Lockington, <i>et al.</i> 1988; ⁱ Orpin <i>et al.</i> 1986.			

The process of introducing DNA into a bacterium requires that the organisms containing the new material be separable from those that do not. This is achieved in most cases by using what is known as a selectable marker gene. This may be a gene . that allows the bacterium to use an unusual nutrient, but more often it is a gene that provides protection for its host, against some toxic compound. The majority of selectable marker genes provide resistance to an antibiotic.

A tetracycline resistance gene carried on a native rumen plasmid (pRRI4) has been used successfully for the selection of transformed *B. ruminicola* (Thomson and Flint 1989). Similarly, several species of bacteria have been shown to be capable of expressing the **Tet(M)** gene from transposon **Tn916** (J. Brooker, pers.comm. 1990) which was obtained originally from *Streptococcus faecalis* (Senghas et al. 1988).

Interestingly, antibiotic resistance genes from non-rumen sources are frequently ineffective in **rumen** species. In our work (Ware **et al**. unpublished) it has been demonstrated that the ampicillinase gene from **pUC plasmids (Yanisch-Perron** et al. 1985) works only poorly as a selectable marker in в. fi bri solvens, and the CAT gene from pKK232-8 (Brosius 1984) and the clindamycin resistance gene from **Bacteroides fragilis** 1985) appear non-functional in this species. (Smith Ιt shown whether this is a be consequence remains to of translation differences in gene regulatory factors, and/or protein folding under anaerobic conditions, or inappropriate partitioning of the resistance factor within the bacterium. In contrast, the clindamycin resistance gene from **B. fragilis** has been shown to function in **B.** ruminicola (Hazlewood and Gilbert 1989).

Development of transformation vectors and. methods-for **rumen** bacteria is in its infancy, but it is clear that recombinant **plasmids** based upon naturally **occuring** replicons will be useful for the transfer of foreign DNA into **rumen** bacteria. The availability of suitable vectors is expected to lead to a rapid expansion in genetic knowledge.

Most genetic manipulation projects which entail the release of altered bacteria require the genomic integration of the added genes. Work with transposons has shown that DNA can be transferred to **rumen** bacteria and incorporated into the chromosome. The inherent mobility of transposons makes them unsuitable for practical genetic alteration, unless their mobility can be regulated, but their usefulness as genetic research tools may be considerable (Hespell 1987).

In our own laboratory we are examining the possibility that the integrative function of bacteriophages may be useful in attaching newly introduced genes to the bacterial chromosome. A 2.8 kilobase DNA fragment containing an integration attachment site from the temperate bacteriophage $\phi AR29$ (Klieve et al. 1989) has been cloned into an *E. coli* plasmid and is now being tested for its ability to direct chromosomal integration (A. Klieve and K. Gregg, unpublished).

MONITORING BACTERIAL LEVELS IN THE RUMEN

When altered bacteria are returned to the **rumen**, the first measure of their success will be their ability to persist in sufficient numbers to exert a significant biological effect. It is therefore essential to have a reliable mechanism for measuring the predominance of the altered strain within the **rumen** population.

DNA hybridization has been used to estimate bacterial numbers in a variety of locations including **rumen** fluid. Attwood et al. (1989) demonstrated the detection of a target species in rumen fluid, with an estimated sensitivity of around 10^{-107} cells per ml. However, in a population of 10^{-107} bacteria per ml this represents a sensitivity of only 1% - 0.1%. The genetic diversity that has been shown to occur within single phenotypes (see above) indicates that any one genotype is likely to compose only a small proportion of the apparent representation by that phenotypic species: i.e. where а phenotypic species is 5% of the **rumen** population, a single genotype may be less than one tenth of this. As а consequence, the level of sensitivity provided by standard hybridization techniques may be inadequate to follow fluctuations of individual genotypes within the rumen.

An alternative method has been described which uses monoclonal antibodies to detect specific strains of bacteria in a mixed population with considerably greater sensitivity (Brooker and Stokes 1990). However, in some cases this method may be too specific, since the cell-surface antigens of bacteria can change with a changing environment. For example, the loss of a particular nutrient receptor, with a change in diet, could result in false negative results from monoclonal antibody detection processes.

A more promising mechanism for detecting and enumerating individual species lies in the recently developed technique of polymerase chain reaction (PCR; **Mullis** and Faloona 1987). This method uses a DNA synthesis reaction to duplicate a particular DNA sequence. Repeated denaturation, annealing and polymerization steps, using a thermostable enzyme, allows the duplication to be repeated many-fold in an automated system (Saiki et al. 1988). In principle, this technique makes possible the detection of a single copy of a DNA sequence in a mixed population.

PCR has been applied in the detection and quantitation of bacterial species in non-ruminal ecosystems as well as being developed in our laboratory for application to the **rumen**. Early work has **sh**own considerable success in detecting DNA equivalent to $\mathbf{1}^{13}$ cells among non-target DNA equivalent to approximately 10^8 cells (i.e. detecting an organism that is 0.0001% of the total population) while remaining well within the sensitivity limits of the technique. For quantitation of individual species within the **rumen**, the most challenging part of this process is the development of methods which ensure that DNA extracted from **rumen** samples, is representative of the entire bacterial population. It is expected that, for

most purposes, quantitation down to 0.0001% of the bacterial population will be adequate to detect those bacteria which play a significant role in the **rumen**.

APPLICATIONS FOR MODIFICATION OF **RUMEN** BACTERIA

Many reviews have been published, describing the potential benefits to be obtained by manipulating the genetics of **rumen** micro-organisms (Teather 1985; Smith and Hespell 1985; Armstrong and Gilbert 1985). However, it is still too early to predict which alterations are most likely to provide real benefits. Although DNA has been inserted into rumen bacteria, demonstrating the feasibility of genetic alteration, there are still no reports of useful changes being made to rumen bacteria, and some of the strains used in genetic studies have an unpredictable likelihood of surviving if returned to the rumen (Attwood et **al.** 1988).

It is expected that some genetic changes to **rumen** bacteria will be effective when expressed as a small percentage of the **rumen** population (e.g. $\langle 0.1$ %) while others may require a major proportion to be altered (e.g. >5%) to have an adequate effect on the physiology of the animal. The following are examples of three different types of manipulation which are likely to require different levels of altered bacteria within the **rumen** population.

Detoxification

An adaptation of rumen bacteria that could be applicable to a large number of specific problems, is modification to allow detoxification of plant poisons, pesticides, or other pollutants which contaminate ruminants through their presence as residues in soil and pastures (Gregg and Sharpe 1991). A precedent has been set by the work of Jones and Megarrity (1986), in which a bacterial culture was transferred from the rumen of Hawaiian goats to Australian goats and sheep, transferring resistance to the goitrogenic amino-acid mimosine and its degradation product dihydropyridone. Populations of the new bacteria $\ensuremath{\underline{,\!w}}\xspace$ detected at a level around 0.01% of the rumen flora ($\approx 10^{3}$ /ml), but were able to protect the animals against toxin levels taken in by eating Leucaena leucocephala (**R** Jones, personal communication). Bacteria that engineered to produce anti-parasitic compounds or **an** are antiprotozoal agents may also function adequately at quite low population numbers.

Protein quality supplementation

An attempt may be made to supplement dietary protein by causing "storage" or "by-pass" proteins to be generated within **rumen** bacteria (**Teather** 1985; Brooker et **al.** 1989). Altering a small proportion of bacteria to carry high levels of proteins that are rich in essential amino acids might be of considerable nutritional benefit to the host animal. However,

the ecological competitiveness of such organisms may be attenuated if they store large quantities of proteins that are of no advantage to them. It may be necessary to provide external support mechanisms to enable the altered bacteria to survive in useful numbers. As an alternative, it may be more beneficial to alter a larger proportion of the rumen population to produce low levels of an enriched protein,, for example by adding essential amino acids to existing structural proteins. While this would almost certainly require the alteration of many organisms, it appears more likely to be successful, on evolutionary principles.

Enhanced fibre digestion

At present, the process of cellulose digestion remains poorly understood and might therefore appear impractical as a genetic modification. Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that **cellulase** genes from a bacterium can be added to a normally non-cellulolytic organism (in this case a yeast), to make it capable of digesting filter paper and treated wood-chips (Wong *et al. 1988*). The process of fibre digestion involves a major proportion of the **rumen** microflora, and manipulations to enhance fibre digestion might require large changes in the bacterial population in order to show significant increases in digestive efficiency. The remarkable genetic diversity among **rumen** bacteria increases the complexity of this task.

Expression of a foreign cellulase gene in a modified rumen bacterium has been reported, although this apparently did not confer true cellulolytic capability (Hazlewood and Gilbert 1989). The ease with which cellulolytic capabilities may be transferred to non-cellulolytic organisms may depend upon the particular system chosen for transfer. For example, cellulases isolated from **F.** succinogenes appear to retain particular little of their ability to digest native cellulose as a **cell**-free extract (Groleau and Forsberg 1981). In contrast, we demonstrated *albus* strain AR67 produces have that **R**. extracellular cellulases capable of digesting filter-paper and Avicel extensively in cell-free preparations (A. Lachke et al. in preparation). Such enzymes may be ideal for transfer to species that are normally only weakly cellulolytic, such as **B**. fibrisolvens (Bryant 1974). With five cellulolytic genes cloned from AR67 (Ware et al. 1989; Ware et al. 1990), it remains to be shown which of these, or which additional ones, are required to confer cellulolysis on a non-cellulolytic species.

CONCLUSIONS

It is widely accepted that a greater understanding of **rumen** microbial molecular genetics is necessary if manipulation of **rumen** bacteria is to become routine, and the knowledge required to make it so is expanding rapidly.

Bacterial species suitable for modification have been selected from the large number present in the **rumen**. Genes from those

species are being cloned and sequenced at an accelerating rate and much structural information is already available. Experiments to study the operation of these genes **in vivo** are in progress in several laboratories.

Methods for the insertion of new DNA have been developed for at least two species, and possible ways to stabilize genes by chromosomal integration are being investigated. The most recent developments in PCR technology lend themselves to the process of monitoring fluctuations in bacterial populations in the **rumen**. As a consequence, it will be relatively simple to assess the viability of modified bacteria when reintroduced to the **rumen**.

At present, practical genetic alteration of **rumen** bacteria remains a thing of the future. However, the rate of progress during the past five years, and the rate of acceleration of progress, has made it clear that the capability to make useful modifications to these important organisms is no longer in question.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank C.E. Ware and P.E. Vercoe for assistance in preparation of the manuscript. Research at the Institute of Biotechnology, U.N.E. is supported by grants from the Australian Meat and Livestock Research and Development Corporation and from Applied Biotechnology Ltd.

REFERENCES

- ARMSTRONG, D.G. and GILBERT, H.J. (1985). J. Sci. Food Agric. <u>36</u>; 1039-1046.
- ATTWOOD, G.T., LOCKINGTON, R.A., XUE, G-P. and BROOKER, J.D. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. <u>54:</u> 534-539.
- BARROS, M.E.C. and THOMSON, J.A. (1987) J. Bact. 169; 1760-1762.

BERGER, E., JONES, W.A., JONES, D.T. and WOODS, D.R. (1989). Mol.Gen. Genet. 219; 193-198. BERGER, E., JONES, W.A., JONES, D.T. and WOODS, D.R. (1990).

- BERGER, E., JONES, W.A., JONES, D.T. and WOODS, D.R. (1990). Mol. <u>Gen. Genet.</u> <u>223</u>: **310-318.**
- BIRD, S.H., NOLAN, J.V. and LENG, R.A. (1990). in "The Rumen <u>Ecosystem.</u>" pp 151-159, Japan Scientific Soc Press, (Springer-Verlag, Tokyo).
- BROOKER, J.D., LOCKINGTON, R.A., ATTWOOD, G.T., LANGRIDGE, P., NIELD, J.K. and LANGRIDGE, U. (1989). in "Biology of Wool and Hair", pp 425-440, editors G.E. Rogers, P.J. Reis, K.A. Ward and R.C. Marshall. (Chapman and Hall; London). BROOKER, J.D. and STOKES, B. (1990). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56; 2193-2199.
- BROSIUS, J. (1984). Gene <u>27</u>: 151-160.
- CHEN, W., NAGASHIMA, K., KAJINO, T., OHMIYA, K. and SHIMIZU, S. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54: 1249-1253. CROSBY, W.L., COLLIER, B., THOMAS, D.Y., TEATHER, R.M. and
- CROSBY, W.L., COLLIER, B., THOMAS, D.Y., **TEATHER**, R.M. and ERFLE, J.D. **(1984).** <u>DNA</u> <u>2</u>; **184.**

DOWER, W.J., MILLER, J.F. and RAGSDALE, C.W. (1988). Nucleic <u>Acids Res.</u> 16; 6127-6145. FLINT, H. J., MCPHERSON, C.A. and BISSET, J. (1989). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. <u>55;</u> 1230-1233. FLINT, H.J., THOMSON, A.M. and BISSET, J. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. <u>54</u>; 855-860. GONG, J., LO, R.Y.C. and FORSBERG, C.W. (1989). Appl. Environ. <u>Microbiol.</u> <u>55;</u> 132-136. GREGG, K. and SHARPE, H. (1991). in " VII International Symposium of Ruminant Physiology", pp 718-735, editors T. Tsuda, Y. Sasaki and R. Kawashima. (Academic Press; San Diego). GREGG, K. and WARE, C.E. (1990). in "Microbial and plant opportunities to improve lignocellulose utilization by ruminants", pp 357-366, editors D.E. Akin, L. Ljungdahl, J.R. Wilson, and P.J. Harris. (Elsevier; New York). HAZLEWOOD, G.P. (1991). J. Gen. Microbiol. in press. HAZLEWOOD, G.P. and GILBERT, H.J. (1989). in "Bioscience in Animal Production", pp 79-86, Monograph series No 9. (Royal Ag. Soc.; England). HAZLEWOOD, G.P. and TEATHER, R.M. (1988). in "The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem", pp 323-341, editor P.N. Hobson. (Elsevier; London). HESPELL, R.B. (1987). Proc. Nutrition Soc 4 6; 407-413. HOWARD, G.T. and WHITE, B.A. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. <u>54;</u> 1752-1755. HOWARD, G.T. and WHITE, B.A. (1990). Animal Biotechnol. 1; 95-106. HUANG, C-M., KELLY, W.J., ASMUNDSON, R.V. and YU, P-L. (19.89). Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 31; 265-271. Current Microbiol. 19; 313-HUDMAN, J.F. and GREGG, K. (1989). 318. HUNGATE, R.E. (1969). Methods in Microbiol. 3B; 117-132. IRVIN, J.E. and TEATHER, R.M. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54: 2672-2676. JONES, R.J. and MEGARRITY, R.G. (1986). Aust. Vet. J. 63; 259-263. KAWAI, S., HONDA, H., TANASE, T., TAYA, M., IIJIMA, S. and KOBAYASHI, T. (1987). <u>Agric. Biol. Chem.</u> <u>51</u>; 59-63. KLIEVE, A.V., HUDMAN, J.F. and BAUCHOP, T. (1989). <u>Appl.</u> Environ. Microbiol. 55; 1630-1634. LENG, R.A. (1990). Nutr. Res. Rev. 3; 277-303. LENG, R.A. (1991). in "Application of Biotechnology to Nutrition of Animals in Developing Countries". An occasional publication of FAO, Rome. LIN, L-L., RUMBAK, E., ZAPPE, H., THOMSON, J.A. and WOODS, D.R. (1990). <u>J. Gen. Microbiol. 136</u>; 1567-1576. LOCKINGTON, R.A., ATTWOOD, G.T. and BROOKER, J.D. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54; 1575-1580 MANARELLI, M. and HESPELL, R.B. (1987). 87th Annual Meeting Amer. Soc. Microbiol. p 195. (Abstr.) MANARELLI, B.M., EVANS, S. and LEE, D. (1990). J. Bact. 172; 4247-4254. MANN, S.P. (1988). Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 7: 119-122. MATSUSHITA, O., RUSSELL, J.B. and WILSON, $\overline{D}.B.$ (1990). <u>J. Bact.</u> <u>172</u>; 3620-3630.

McGAVIN, M.J., FORSBERG, C. W., CROSBY, W., BELL, A.W., DIGNARD, D. and THOMAS, D.Y. (1989). J. Bact. 171; 5587-5595. MULLIS, K.B. and FALOONA, F.A. (1987). Methods in Enzymol. <u>155:</u> 335-350. OHMIYA, K., NAGASHIMA, K., KAJINO, T., GOTO, E., TSUKADA, A. and SHIMIZU, S. (1988). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54; 1511-1515. OHMIYA, K., KAJINO, T., KATO, A. and SHIMIZU, S. (1989). J. Bact. <u>171</u>; 6771-6775. OHMIYA, K., TAKANO, M. and SHIMIZU, S. (1990). Nucleic Acids <u>Res18;</u> 671. POOLE, D.H., HAZLEWOOD, G.P., LAURIE, J.I., BARKER, P.J. and GILBERT, H.J. (1990). Mol. Gen. Genet. <u>223</u>; 217-223. ROMANIEC, M.P.M., DAVIDSON, K., WHITE, B.A. and HAZLEWOOD, G.P. (1989). <u>Lett. Appl. Microbiol.</u> <u>9</u>; 101-104. RUSSELL, J.B. (1988) in "Aspects of Digest. Physiol. in Ruminants", pp 74-96, editors A. Dobson, and M.J. Dobson. (Cornell Univ. Press; Ithaca N.Y.). RUSSELL, J.B. and WILSON, D.B. (1988). J. Nutr. 118: 271-279. SENGHAS, E., JONES, J.M., YAMAMOTO, M., GAWRON-BURKE, C. and CLEWELL, D.B. (1988). J. Bact. <u>170</u>; 245-249. SAIKI, R.K., GELFAND, D.H., STOFFEL, S., SCHARF, S.J., HIGUCHI, R., HORN, G.T., MULLIS, K.B. and ERLICH, H.A. (1988). <u>Science</u> 239: 487-491. SEWELL, G.W., ALDRICH, H.C., WILLIAMS, D., MANARELLI, B., WILKIE, A., HESPELL, R.B., SMITH, P.H. and INGRAM, L.O. (1988). <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54</u>: 1085-1090. SEWELL, G.W., UTT, E.A., HESPELL, R.B., MACKENZIE, K.F. and INGRAM, L.O. (1989). <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55</u>; 306-311. SIPAT, A., TAYLOR, K.A. LO, R.Y.C., FORSBERG, C.W. and KRELL, P.J. (1987). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. <u>53</u>; 477-481. SMITH, C.J. (1985). <u>J. Bac</u>t. <u>164</u>; 284-301. SMITH, C.J. and Hespell, R.B. (1983). <u>J. Dairy Sci.</u> <u>66</u>: 1536-1546. STACKEBRANDT. E. (1988). Can. J. Microbiol. <u>34</u>; 552-556. TEATHER, R.M. (1985). Can. J. Anim. Sci. <u>65</u>; 563-574. TEATHER, R.M. (1990). in "Microbial and plant opportunities to improve lignocellulose utilization by ruminants", pp 377-388, editors. D.E. Akin, L. Ljungdahl, J.R. Wilson, P.J. Harris, (Elsevier; New York). TEATHER, R.M. and ERFLE, J.D. (1990). J. Bact. 172: 3837-3841 THOMSON, A.M. and FLINT, H.J. (1989). FEMS Microbiol. Lett. <u>61,</u> , 1 PJ - 104. VERCOE, P.E. and GREGG, K. (1991a; in preparation) VERCOE, P.E. and GREGG, K. (1991b; in preparation) WANG, W. and THOMSON, J.A. (1990). Mol. Gen. Genet. 222; 265-269. WARE, C.E., BAUCHOP, T. and GREGG, K. (1989). J. Gen. Microbiol. <u>135</u>; 921-930. WARE, C.E., LACHKE, A.H. and GREGG, K. (1990). <u>Biochem</u>. <u>Biophys. Res, Commun.</u> 171: 777-786. WHITEHEAD, T.R. and HESPELL, R.B. (1989). Appl. Env. Microbiol. <u>55:</u> 893-896. WHITE, B.A., CLARKE, J.H., DOERNER, K.C., GUPTA, V.K., HELASZEK, C.T., HOWARD, G.T., MORRISON, M., ODENYO, A.A., ROSENZWEIG, S. and MACKIE, R.I. (1990). in in "Microbial

and plant opportunities to improve lignocellulose utilization by ruminants", pp 389-400, editors. D.E. Akin, L. Ljungdahl, J.R. Wilson, P.J. Harris. (Elsevier; New York).

- WONG, W.K.R., CURRY, C., PAREKH, R.S., PAREKH, S.R. WAYMAN, M. DAVIES, R.W., KILBURN, D.G. and SKIPPER, N. (1988). <u>Bio/Technology</u> **<u>6</u>;** 713-718.
- WOODS, J.R., HUDMAN, J.F. and GREGG, K. (1989). J. Gen. <u>Microbiol.</u> <u>135</u>; 2543-2549. YANISCH-PERRON, C., VIEIRA, J. and MESSING, J. (1985). <u>Gene</u>
- <u>33;</u> 103-119.