Maintenance energy requirements of dairy cows
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Agnew et al. (2000) and Kebreab et al. (2003) report that cows in recent years yielding up to 160 MJ milk/d (51.6 kg 4% fat-corrected milk, FCM) had a mean metabolizable energy requirement for maintenance \( (\text{ME}_{m}) \) of 0.63 MJ/kgW\(^{0.75}\). Reasons of Agnew et al. (2000) for that being 30% greater than the AFRC (1993) value of 0.48 MJ/kgW\(^{0.75}\) include a high fibre diet, which was not given to the cows studied, and grazing activity, though there was little grazing in these studies. Agnew et al. (2000) and Yan et al. (2003) appear to regard improved genetic merit as the primary cause. There is some variation among Bos taurus breeds in their \( \text{ME}_{m} \) which is about 17% greater than for B. indicus (SCA 1990); it is improbable that it could be a further 30% greater for cows improved genetically.

We suggest the high \( \text{ME}_{m} \) is because of increases in energy expenditures in the body to support increased production from increased intakes. Yan et al. (1997) measured the fasting heat productions (FHP) of cows fed near ad libitum before fast. The mean FHP was 0.453 MJ/kgW\(^{0.75}\) (equivalent to 0.62 MJ ME) and it is known that values are greater than when the fast is preceded by feeding at maintenance level (\( L = 1 \)). For feeds with ME/kg DM similar to those in the recent studies, the SCA (1990) \( \text{ME}_{m} \) at \( L = 1 \) for B. taurus is 0.537\( \exp(-0.03A) \) MJ/kgW\(^{0.75}\), where \( A \) is age in years

maximum 6. Increases in support metabolism for production from increased intakes are allowed for in \( \text{ME}_{m} \) by adding 0.1 of the ME used directly for production. Simple calculation by SCA (1990), and by AFRC (1993), of ME required in early lactation does not allow for contributions of energy from body tissues to milk synthesis; that the synthesis then is not wholly from dietary energy is allowed for by GrazFeed (Freer et al. 1997; Table 1). Yan et al. (2003) found that the SCA system gave better predictions of total energy requirement than the UK, most European, and the USA systems.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4% FCM yield kg/d</th>
<th>SCA</th>
<th>GrazFeed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>