

LambEx 2012 Conference Proceedings

| Document ID: | SheepCRC_33_6 | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Title: | The latest eating quality science - managing intramuscular fat and tenderness to improve the consumer experience | |
| Author: | David Pethick, Alex Ball | |
| Key words: | Sheep; intramuscular fat; sheep eating quality; | |

This paper was presented at the LambEx Conference held in 2012, as part of the Sheep CRC presentations. The paper should be cited as:

David Pethick, Alex Ball (2012) – The latest eating quality science – managing intramuscular fat and tenderness to improve the consumer experience

The latest eating quality science - managing intramuscular fat and tenderness to improve the consumer experience

David Pethick and Alex Ball

School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University, South St., Western Australia, 6150 and Meat & Livestock Australia, North Sydney, NSW, 2059 and Sheep CRC, CJ Hawkins Homestead, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351.

Abstract

This paper discusses the new research and potential implementation phases associated with managing eating quality in Australian lamb. Firstly we discuss the need for electrical stimulation systems as part of lamb processing, especially for supply chains with a domestic focus. We then describe the new eating quality traits being developed in the Sheep CRC Information Nucleus program namely intramuscular fat, shear force tenderness and consumer evaluation using Meat Standards Australia taste panels. Finally we discuss future ways the Industry will underpin and guarantee eating quality of lamb cuts.

Introduction

The current recommendations for managing the eating quality of lamb meat are captured in the Meat Standards Australia (MSA) lamb system. The key elements of the recommended pathway for best practice are summarised in Figure 1 (MSA 2012). This requires meeting recommended growth rates, carcase and fat specifications, curfew and lairage times, pH x temperature windows (i.e. controlled with electrical stimulation) and meat aging. In addition there are cut x cook recommendations for commercial cuts of lamb. A key feature is that the pathways are not difficult to achieve and represent Industry best practice throughout the lamb production supplychain.

Lamb processing – it must be monitored

An important factor influencing lamb tenderness and consumer appeal is the processing conditions post slaughter, especially for domestic product undergoing relatively short aging periods. Optimal processing of lamb for the domestic market typically relies on electrical stimulation of the carcase to accelerate the pH decline and so meet the temperature @ pH6 targets described in Figure 1 (Pethick et al. 2005). To put this in context – poor processing would mean a lamb loin achieving good every day (3 star) rather better than every day or premium (4/5 star). This has resulted in the wide spread adoption of electrical stimulation units in most processing plants with a significant domestic market. However recent research by the Sheep CRC in collaboration with the Australian Meat Processors Corporation has clearly underpinned the need for processors to carefully monitor these stimulation units. Thus we measured the stimulator performance in 5 abattoirs as part of the Sheep CRC Information Nucleus project and found only 1 to be functioning effectively (Pearce et al. 2010). The reasons for poor electrical stimulation performance included changed chilling rates, electrical faults, increased chain speed and incorrect settings. The conclusion is to have an auditing procedure that is underpinned by regular checks on pH decline and the simplest way forward is for processors to utilise the MSA lamb systems to do this.

Incorporating measures of eating quality

The Sheep CRC Information Nucleus is a tool for both R&D and simultaneous adoption of many new traits including eating quality and lean meat yield. We have measured 3 aspects of eating quality namely intramuscular fat, shear force tenderness and consumer taste panel responses to lamb.

Shear force tenderness

This is a laboratory measure of tenderness and is based on the kg of force required to pass a blade through a cooked piece of lamb and a higher value means tougher meat. Animal factors influencing this phenotype include animal age (beyond lamb), level of intramuscular fat and sire. The trait has a moderate heritability in sheep and 2 genes effecting tenderness (calpain and calpistatin) have tough and tender variants (Knight et al. 2012) in a similar manner to beef cattle.


Intramuscular fat

Is the amount of fat within the meat and is called marbling in beef. Intramuscular fat effects tenderness, flavour and juiciness of lamb and ideally should be in the range of 4-6%. The current mean level in Australian lamb is 4.2%. This trait is highly heritable, has a large range (1.5-9.1%) and is favourably genetically correlated to shear force tenderness making it a key target trait for managing eating quality into the future.

Consumer taste panels

The MSA system for assessing the eating quality of lamb by untrained consumers is being used to quantify or calibrate the true effects of the laboratory measures (shear force tenderness, intramuscular fat) on eating quality. The average lamb loin grades as a 4 star but there are also loins that achieve 3 and 5 star grades. About 50% of the topsides are unsatisfactory (2 star) with the remainder as good every day (3 star) or higher. The taste panel work clearly shows the eating quality of the loin and topside is influenced by the level of intramuscular fat and shear force and also by the sire of the lamb. The overall size of the effects for intramuscular fat, shear force and sire are in the range of 8-15 consumer units (out of 100), more then enough to change the overall acceptability or star rating. Indeed these animal effects are comparable or larger than the effects of electrical stimulation and aging.

Figure 1. Summary of MSA Lamb and Sheepmeats pathways



Putting it all together

The eating quality traits discussed above are of course influenced by carcase breeding values and a summary of the important genetic correlations are shown below in Table 1. There is clearly an antagonistic relationship between lean meat yield and eating quality. However these are the average relationships across all sires and there are many sires where these relationships are not as strong or in fact are reversed – for example some sires will have high lean meat yield and high intramuscular fat. The modern genetic tools currently available - both traditional and genomic – can easily be used to develop an eating quality index that can be used to manage simultaneous genetic improvement of lean meat yield and eating quality.

Table 1. Some important genetic correlations (Sheep CRC discussion paper)

| Traits correlated | Direction | Comment |
|------------------------|-----------|---|
| Lean meat yield vs | | |
| Intramuscular fat | -ve | High yield = low intramuscular fat |
| Shear force tenderness | +ve | High yield = tougher meat |
| GR tissue depth | -ve | Higher GR fat = lower yield |
| Eye muscle area | +ve | Higher muscularity = higher yield |
| | | |
| Eye muscle area vs | | |
| Shear force tenderness | +ve | More muscle = tougher meat |
| Intramuscular fat | -ve | More muscle = lower intramuscular fat |
| | | |
| Intramuscular fat vs | | |
| GR tissue depth | +ve | Higher GR = more intramuscular fat |
| Shear force tenderness | -ve | Higher intramuscular fat = more tender meat |

Making claims and Summary

Research to test new imaging systems that can automatically measure the intramuscular fat of the slaughter lambs is currently under discussion and if both accurate and cost effective would allow more refined grading of individual lamb carcases for yield and at least one measure of eating quality. However, currently no commercial systems are available for lamb grading beyond yield.

So how can we guarantee an eating quality experience to the consumer using a genetic index system? It is proposed that the simplest and most cost effective way is to use the eating quality index predicted for the sire and maintain integrity/traceability of this through the supplychain. So for example, we are testing the concept that a sire with an eating quality index sufficient to assure a 4 star loin and 3 star topside can indeed consistently deliver this outcome and be used in a new MSA lamb model.

References

- Knight MI, Daetwyler HD, Hayes BJ, Hayden MJ, Ball AJ, Pethick DW and McDonagh MB (2012). Discovery and trait association of SNP from gene regions of influence on meat tenderness and long-chain omega 3 fatty acid content in Australian lamb. *Animal Production Science* on line
- Meat Standards Australia (2012) www.mla.com.au/Marketing-red-meat/Guaranteeing-eating-quality/MSA-sheepmeat-on-farm (23rd May, 2012)
- Pearce KL, Van de Ven R, Mudford C, Warner RD, Hocking-Edwards J, Pethick DW and Hopkins DL (2010) Case studies demonstrating the optimisation of medium voltage electrical stimulation of lamb carcases. *Production Animal Science* **50**, 1107-1114.
- Pethick. D.W., D'Souza, D.N., Anderson, C.A. and Miur, LL (2005) Eating quality of Australian lamb and sheep meats. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **45**, Issue 5