Can Egg Production be Improved by Mass Selection Based on Physical Appearance?

F. Skaller*

Hutt (1949, p. 296) reviewed the findings of several workers all of whom had reported failure to improve egg production by individual selection based on physical appearance. However, this method is still practised in Australia despite these findings.

It, therefore, seemed desirable to include, for demonstration purposes, an experiment on the effect of this type of selection in a series of breeding investigations initiated in 1946 at the Poultry Research Centre. The general design of these experiments, including methods of management, has been described elsewhere (Skaller, 1957).

In the first experiment, lasting 6 generations, 223 White Leghorn pullets were produced from 54 pullets and 15 hens mated to 9 cockerels. The breeding value for high egg production of all birds was assessed by the subjective judgement of an experienced officer of a Department of Agriculture according to their physical appearance and "handling quality". All birds surviving at the onset of the breeding season were presented to the expert and on the average the best 27 per cent. females and 17 per cent. males retained for breeding. The flock was a "closed" one with the exception of the last year when the 2 cockerels used came from the unselected control flock to avoid further inbreeding.

Figure 1 shows the egg production of this flock expressed as deviation from the unselected Control flock so that between-years variations caused by changes in the environment are minimised.

The selected flock produced more than the control (significant at P < 0.05) in one year only, although corrections for possible depressions caused by inbreeding were made by adding 1 egg for each per cent. of Wright's coefficient of inbreeding (F) (see Schoffner, 1948, Schoffner et al., 1953, Skaller, 1956, Tebb, 1957).

To exclude any possible effect of inbreeding, unavoidable in a small closed flock, a differently designed experiment was carried out in 1953 and repeated the following year. The two best and two worst cockerels were selected according to physical appearance in the first year from 20 and in the second year from 34 males available from an unimproved flock. The 4 cockerels were mated in each experiment to the 32 highest and 32 lowest ranking pullets in such a manner that all possible combinations of mating best and worst looking sires to best and worst dams were made.

The results of this experiment are summarized in Table I. The mean egg production of daughters from parents with best physical appearance was over the two years lower than that of pullets from parents graded worst on appearance, though these differences were not statistically significant either within each year or when pooled.

Another analysis revealed that not even the average grading by appearance differed for the progeny of parents graded as best from that of parents graded as worst in appearance.

*Division of Animal Health and Production, C. S.I.R. O., Poultry Research Centre, Werribee, Victoria.

TABLE I.

| 1954 53/54 1953 1954 53/4 353 Pullets Eggs Eggs Pullets Eggs Fullets Eggs Eggs <th>urviv</th> <th>ing to 72 Weeks of Age</th> <th></th> <th>Hen-H</th> <th>lousea (Frou. Inuex)</th> <th></th> | urviv | ing to 72 Weeks of Age | | Hen-H | lousea (Frou. Inuex) | |
|--|-------|------------------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|-------|
| Pullets Eggs Fullets Eggs Pullets Eggs Fullets Fullets <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>1954</td><td>53/54</td><td>1953</td><td>1954</td><td>53/54</td></t<> | 3 | 1954 | 53/54 | 1953 | 1954 | 53/54 |
| 21 144.7 141.6 42 111.9 24 128.0 11 21 146.8 143.5 43 119.6 28 121.1 12 21 146.8 143.5 43 119.6 28 121.1 12 36 143.1 139.9 41 129.2 50 125.9 12 31 137.3 134.5 20 114.8 44 106.5 10 | 80 | Pullets Eggs | Eggs | Pullets Eggs | Pullets Eggs | Eggs |
| 21 146.8 143.5 43 119.6 28 121.1 12 35 143.1 139.9 41 129.2 50 125.9 12 31 137.3 134.5 20 114.8 44 106.5 10 | N. | 144.7 | 141.6 | 42 111.9 | 24 128.0 | 117.8 |
| 35 143.1 139.9 41 129.2 50 125.9 12 31 137.3 134.5 20 114.8 44 106.5 10 | | 21 146.8 | 143.5 | 43 119.6 | 28 121.1 | 120.2 |
| 31 137.3 134.5 20 114.8 44 106.5 10 | | 35 143.1 | 139.9 | 41 129.2 | 50 125.9 | 127.4 |
| | 11.2 | 31 137.3 | 134.5 | 20 114.8 | 44 106.5 | 109.1 |

Egg Production of Pullets Produced by Mass Selection on Physical Appearance.

TABLE II.

Egg Production at 72 Weeks of Age of Same Number of Pullets Selected According to Classification on Either Physical Appearance or Egg Records.

*\Representing upper or lower fractions of classified flock.



GENERATIONS

FIG. I-The effect of individual selection for physical appearance on egg production of 6 generations of survivors up to 72 weeks. Egg production data expressed as deviations from an unselected control flock. All data corrected for effect of inbreeding by adding 1 egg for each per cent. of coefficient of inbreeding (F).

The selection of parents by physical appearance can, obviously, improve egg production only if a pullet's annual egg production could be estimated from its physical appearance. Though it is known that extremely poor or non-layers can be culled from a flock by their appearance and "handling qualities," no correlation between appearance and number of eggs has ever been established after these obviously sub-normal birds have been eliminated.

This was confirmed in the present investigation. In the first experiment the 50 dams with offspring produced 24.3 eggs above the unculled flock average of 241 pullets, but 20.6 eggs below the same number of hypothetical dams if selection had been based on individual egg records. Similar results, as shown in Table 2, were obtained in the second series of experiments.

In conclusion, both series of experiments clearly confirm that selection on physical appearance is ineffective in changing an established level of egg production.

REFERENCES

Hutt, F. B. (1949).-Genetics of the Fowl. (McGraw-Hill Book Compl., 1st Ed.)

Schoffner, R. N. (1948) .--Poult Sci. 27: 448.

Schoffner, R. N., H.J. Sloan, L. M. Winters, T. H. Clanfield, and A. M. Pilkey, (1953).—Univ. Minnesota Agr. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull. 207.

Skaller, F. (1956) .-- Proc. Aust. Soc. Anim. Prod. 1: 165.

Skaller, F. (1957) .— C.S.I.R.O. Div. Anim. Health & Prod., Tech. Bull. 2.

Tebb, G. (1957) .— Poult. Sci. 36: 402.